

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/633,326 | NACE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Yemane M Gerezgiher | 2144 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Yemane M Gerezgiher.

(3) _____.

 (2) AMIN, HIMANSHU.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 August 2004
Time: 11:18 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner called Mr. AMIN, HIMANSHU requesting a permission to amend claim 17 to include a second "means for" language, which is currently invalid because it merely recites a SINGLE MEANS plus function. Mr. AMIN assured the examiner to make the necessary amendment to the claim. The examiner has amended the claim as indicated by the applicant.

Best Available Copy